```
18HMCONC
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
      -----x
 2
     CONVOLVE, INC.; and
 3
     MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF
 4
     TECHNOLOGY,
5
                    Plaintiffs,
6
                                             00 Civ. 5141 (GBD)
                V.
 7
      COMPAQ COMPUTER CORP.; SEAGATE
      TECHNOLOGY, INC.; and SEAGATE
      TECHNOLOGY, LLC,
 8
9
                     Defendants.
10
                                              New York, N.Y.
11
                                              August 17, 2011
                                              10:30 a.m.
12
     Before:
13
                          HON. GEORGE B. DANIELS,
14
                                              District Judge
15
                                APPEARANCES
16
      CADWALADER WICKERSHAM & TAFT
17
          Attorneys for Plaintiffs
      BY: GREGORY A. MARKEL
          -and-
18
      SUSMAN GODFREY
19
     BY: STEPHEN D. SUSMAN
20
     BARTLIT BECK HERMAN PALENCHAR & SCOTT
          Attorneys for Defendant Compaq Computer Corp.
21
     BY: CHRISTOPHER D. LANDGRAFF
22
     McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY
23
          Attorneys for Defendants Seagate Technology, Inc. and
      Seagate Technology, LLC
24
     BY: TERRENCE P. McMAHON
          DANIEL E. ALBERTI
```

25

DAVID H. DOLKAS

(Case called)

THE COURT: Gentlemen, I have decided the motion.

I've separated two things. I've given you a memorandum decision and I have a separate order. The reason I've done that is because I think it may be, and you can tell me if you don't want to proceed this way, but I think it may be appropriate for me to go ahead and file the order and file the memorandum decision under seal. I think that that's the appropriate way.

MR. MARKEL: Your Honor, yes, we would ask that the memorandum and decision be filed under seal.

MR. McMAHON: Your Honor, certainly we agree with the way you proceeded. I am not sure why we filed the order under seal. It's public.

THE COURT: Not the order. Only the memorandum.

MR. McMAHON: I mean the memorandum. I don't know that there is anything insofar as their trade secret information in there. We can redact it. And then we can file a redacted memorandum.

THE COURT: I'll do it this way. I am going to file the memorandum decision under seal. If you both can agree to an appropriate redaction to file the document, a redacted document, not under seal, that's fine, and you let me know and I'll file that. I want to give you an opportunity to look at it. There are a lot of things, references that were submitted

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under seal. So you have to tell me whether or not you can comfortably redact, give me a redaction.

MR. McMAHON: Yes, your Honor. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: What I want to do, I want to give you some opportunity to review that and to speak to each other about how you want to proceed. I think that what makes sense is for me to set a conference for maybe the first week in October, let you discuss how you want to proceed. You could either by letter or on that date let me know when you think that you would want to submit a joint pretrial order and what month that you think that you might want to try the case, if this case is going to be tried, and then we can discuss that process. there is anything else, any other way you want to proceed, you can give me by letter before then, or we can discuss that on that date.

I am going to propose October 6 at 10:00 as the next conference date. I want to give you an opportunity to look over the opinion, decide how you want to proceed, discuss it with each other, and then by October 6 we can be prepared to move forward to hopefully final resolution of this case.

Anything else that we need to address today before you have an opportunity to review the decision and discuss those issues. Anything?

> MR. MARKEL: Nothing else, your Honor.

MR. McMAHON: Nothing else, your Honor. Thank you,